



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,218	01/28/2002	Arne Holmgren	P21480	8453
7055	7590 03/10/2003			
	M & BERNSTEIN, P	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLANI RESTON, VA	O CLARKE PLACE 20191		KUMAR, SHAILENDRA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1621	8
•			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Examiner

Applicant(s)

09/926,218

Shailendra Kumar

Holmgren et al

1621



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period 1	or Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the reiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause they received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Be application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 28, 2	002			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	ion of Claims				
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-12	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)💢	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) 💢 accepted or b) 🗆 objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No			
	3. \times Copies of the certified copies of the priority deposition from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·			
a) [
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
·	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Application/Control Number: 09/926,218 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 1/28/02 complies with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) and has been placed in the application file, the information referred to therein has been considered.

Drawings

3. The drawings were received on 1/28/02. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arteel et al(Chem. Res. Toxic. 1999).

Application/Control Number: 09/926,218 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

Instant claims are directed to a substrate for thioredoxin reductase which comprises a substance selected from formula (I) or (I') and a physiological salt thereof.

Arteel et al is teaching a substrate for thioredoxin reductase which has the same formula as claimed herein, see the title, wherein Ebselen is mentioned. This is same as the 2-phenyl-1,2-benzisoselenzol-3(2H). Also see page 264, column 2, lines 7-8. Thus claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,218

Art Unit: 1621

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over combined teachings of Arteel et al and Muller et al(Biochemical Pharmacology, 1984).

Instant claims are directed to an enhancer of the peroxidase activity of thioredoxin reductase, which comprises a substance selected from formula (I) and (I') of claim 1.

Arteel has been described supra. In brief, the reference is teaching a substrate for thioredoxin reductase which is the same as claimed herein. The difference between the reference and herein claimed subject matter is that the reference is not teaching that the same substrate is also an enhancer of the peroxidase activity of thioredoxin reductase.

Muller et al is teaching that Ebselen is an enhancer of the peroxidase activity. See the title, column 1 of page 3235.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the substrate of the thioredoxin reductase of Arteel et al for the enhancing of the peroxidase activity of the Muller et al, because the latter reference is expressly

Application/Control Number: 09/926,218

Art Unit: 1621

teaching that Ebselen is an enhancer of the peroxidase activity and the former reference is

teaching that the same Ebselen is the substrate for the thioredoxin reductase. Thus claimed

subject matter is a prima facie obvious as a whole, absent evidence to the contrary.

No claim is allowed.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to S.Kumar whose telephone number is (703)-308-4519. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM. The examiner can also

be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached on (703) 308-4519. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)-308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1235.

S.Kumar

3/5/03

Page 5